## **RESOLUTION NO: 2016-10-20B**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF OVERTON, TEXAS RATIFYING ADOPTION OF THE 2016-17 ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET BY THE OVERTON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (OEDC) ON OCTOBER 4, 2016.

THE STATE OF TEXAS \$
COUNTY OF RUSK \$

WHEREAS, the OMDD met on October 4, 2016 to consider adoption of the Operating Budget for FY 2016-17; and

WHEREAS, after consideration of the proposed budget the OMDD Board adopted of the Operating Budget for FY 2016-17 by unanimous vote;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF OVERTON, TEXAS THAT:

The City Council of the City of Overton ratifies and approves the FY 2016-17 Fiscal Year Operating Budget of the Overton Economic Development Corporation as adopted by them and as shown in Exhibit A of this Resolution that is attached hereto and becomes a part hereof for all purposes.

APPROVED AND RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF OVERTON, TEXAS ON THIS  $20^{TH}$  DAY OF OCTOBER, 2016.

CITY OF OVERTON

C.R. Evans, Jr., Mayor

ATTEST:

Rachél Gafford, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:

Blake Thompson, Vity Attorney



The Millian Community of the Community o

ad galaki atau mendika a bidaga palah i

annega, a grafia (Francis)

## **EXHIBIT A**

Items#: VI.1

Subject: Consideration and possible action on Proposed Annual Operating and Capital Improvement Budgets for the Overton Economic Development Corporation for Fiscal Year

2016-17

**Initiator: City Manager** 

Presenter: Charles Cunningham

## Discussion:

Despite the fact that there are very few line items or significant non routine items in OEDC's annual Operating Budget, it is still necessary to prepare an annual budget for Board consideration and approval for consideration and adoption by the Board.

The principal source of revenue is sales tax receipts anticipated for the next fiscal year. Amounts estimated for the 2016-17 year are based on historical collections with particular emphasis placed on the last two years inasmuch as receipts for this time period has shown a slow erosion over time in spite of the fact that the City has approved by vote of its citizens, to add beer, wine and mixed drink sales to its tax base. This is reflective of the down turn in the economy due to lower prices for oil. Prospects for improvements in the near to mid-term are not encouraging so the overall estimates for 2016-17 are reduced from the prior year. A copy of a table that shows monthly collections for the previous 10 years plus estimates for 2016-17 are shown.

Anticipated "Interest Income" has been reduced because it is a function of the amount of idle funds available for investing and since the Board will be spending down some of the reserves set aside for capital projects that are underway, there will be less of a balance that will draw less interest.

Operating expenditure have been adjusted based on past experience and anticipated activities for the coming year. For example audit fees have more than doubled because it is expected that we will be able to catch up this year on at least three.

The Capital Improvement Budget was prepared based on projects already underway with the remaining balances that will be expended this year. That is not to say that other projects may not be added later on or adjustments to current projects made. However, this represents the starting point for the 2016-17 Fiscal Year.

## OEDC Annual Operating Budget FY 2016-17

LDC Annual Operating Daugett 1 20						
General Operating Funds	Projected EOY 2016	Adopted FY 2017	Current Month Oct	Year-to- date	Revised Amended	% Re- maining
Revenue	EOA SOTO	FY 2017	Wonth Oct	uate	Amended	maning
Taxes - State Sales Taxes	100,707	97,978	-	-	-	0%
SubTotal Taxes	100,707	97,978		- 1	-	0%
Other Revenue						
Interest Income	4,643	3,000		-	<b>-</b>	0%
General Op. Revenue - Total	105,350	100,978				0%
	Projected	Adopted	Current	Year-to-	Revised	% Re-
Expenditures	EOY 2016	FY 2017	Month Oct	date (July)	Amended	maining
Office Supplies and Services	600	650	_	-	_	0%
Insurance and Bonds	326	342	-		-	0%
Travel Expenses	-	500	_	-	-	0%
Training /Travel		500		-	-	0%
Legal & Audit	1,000	2,500	-	-	-	0%
Bldg. Maintenance	950	500	-	-	-	0%
Contract Services (City & ONLCC)	19,800	19,800	-	-	-	0%
General Op Expenditures Total	23,866	24,792		MARK		0%
Difference	81,484	76,186		644,390		
apital Improvement Funds	Projected	Adopted	Current	Year-to-	Revised	% Re-
Source of Funding	EOY 2016	FY 2017	Month Oct	date	Amended	maining
Reserves	-	797,404			-	AND SHARES REPORTED TO SHARES
Total CIP Funding	188,637	714,559		[[4][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][		
Expenditures	100,001	117,000				I
# 1 Replace water/sewer lines & repave alleyways.	13,280	266,720		-	_	0%
#1a Holland Street Sewer/Water line replacement	5,524	114,476	-	-	-	0%
# 2 Reconstruct /re-pave intersections	13,408	42,876	-	-	-	0%
#3 Dam Improvements #1	29,357	99,643	-	-	-	0%
	2,068	147,932	-	-	-	0%
# 4 Spillway & Overflow Channel.	2,000	,				
# 4 Spillway & Overflow Channel.  # 5 Improvements to WWWTP Contact Pond	125,000	42,912	-	-	-	0%

OEDC - Sales Tax Collection History - Projection

ſ	Oct	Nov	Dec	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Total
2004	6,003.30		4,831.06	4,682.75	6,072.43	5,256.54	3,585.95		4,644.50	4,457.41	7,318.02	4,077.80	65,935
									3,808.76	5,768.88	7,567.22	4,300.87	66,174
2005	5,032.02	7,157.54	4,269.73	4,009.98	7,237.21	4,468.95	4,251.12	8,301.77					
2006	5,471.21	7,394.06	5,962.34	6,461.59	7,609.56	6,094.92	5,099.74	8,607.05	5,383.43	6,212.80	8,643.22	5,484.14	78,424
2007	6,428.89	10,313.52	5,758.55	6,060.05	8,688.18	5,717.98	6,177.06	8,771.01	6,498.72	5,396.99	8,173.35	5,608.73	83,593
2008	6,210.06	9,556.27	6,130.44	8,466.27	9,871.70	6,863.51	7,744.39	10,842.17	7,281.82	8,072.71	11,236.89	10,526.01	102,802
2009	8,282.00	12,228.94	8,298.01	8,067.42	11,275.68	9,111.47	7,161.07	10,291.64	7,731.60	7,403.81	17,669.81	8,456.64	115,978
2010	8,488.43	7,530.84	7,703.13	4,809.81	7,837.44	5,653.66	5,860.02	10,162.95	6,920.41	6,475.91	9,682.20	6,339.69	87,465
2011	6,213.94	8,853.75	6,722.99	5,646.68	9,101.06	7,925.30	6,388.33	10,415.97	7,964.54	6,475.87	9,512.91	6,885.12	92,106
2012	6,994.02	9,861.72	6,717.48	6,561.39	10,277.19	6,561.72	6,666.99	8,178.06	6,452.82	6,908.88	9,282.90	6,759.72	91,223
2013	7,069.59	9,744.90	7,393.65	8,015.70	9,646.23	7,113.48	8,177.07	9,619.83	7,647.75	8,129.55	10,596.96	7,595.61	100,750
2014	8,601.30	10,186.93	8,638.60	7,988.64	12,492.48	6,801.30	7,929.24	12,199.77	8,141.76	8,316.53	11,656.66	8,092.36	111,046
2015	8,601.29	10,187.26	8,638.59	7,322.30	11,413.64	7,086.39	8,210.70	11,147.57	9,846.05	7,649.84	11,141.84	8,028.46	109,274
Actual 2016	8,739.31	11,028.47	8,790.44	6,998.85	11,217.37	6,387.06	6,638.05	9,756.58	6,636.08	6,779.35	10,391.44	7,544.00	100,907
Est. 2016	8,841.89	10,472.22	8,880.23	7,527.12	11,732.90	7,284.61	8,440.37	11,459.39	8,456.27	7,863.82	10,308.00	7,427.31	108,694
Actual 2017													-
Est. 2017	8,485.61	10,708.33	8,535.27	6,795.68	10,891.74	6,201.65	6,445.35	9,473.36	6,443.44	6,582.55	10,089.79	7,325.00	97,978,
% Diff Est/Act	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
\$ Diff Est/ Act	-8,485.61	-10,708.33	-8,535.27	-6,795.68	-10,891.74	-6,201.65	-6,445.35	-9,473.36	-6,443.44	-6,582.55	-10,089.79	-7,325.00	-97,978
											11453.5	8253.03	118,642